



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/575,167

04/10/2006

Jackv Rhein

22193-00025-US1

6834

30678

7590

12/29/2008

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP  
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.  
SUITE 1100  
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

ROCCA, JOSEPH M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3616

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/29/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/575,167	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RHEIN, JACKV	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOSEPH ROCCA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOSEPH ROCCA. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Georg Hasselmann. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 6-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed reasoning behind 112 rejection and suggested possible ideas for overcoming this to define what is meant by negative pitch, so that one of ordinary skill can determine what is meant by claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Joseph Rocca/ Examiner, Art Unit 3616	/John Q. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3616
---	--